What You Needa Know About Yoneda

Jeremy Gibbons
Guillaume Boisseau

1. Nobuo Yoneda

- 1930–1996
- Professor of Theoretical Foundations of Information Science, University of Tokyo
- member of IFIP WG2.1, contributor to discussions about Algol 68, major role in Algol N
- but primarily an algebraist

Category Theory Ahead

2. The Yoneda Lemma

"Arguably the most important result in category theory" (Emily Riehl).

The *Yoneda Lemma*, formally:

> For $\mathbb{C}$ a locally small category, $[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S}et](\mathbb{C}(A, -), F) \simeq F(A)$,
> naturally in $A \in \mathbb{C}$ and $F \in [\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S}et]$.

From left to right, take nt $\phi : \mathbb{C}(A, -) \dot{\to} F$ to $\phi_A(id_A) \in F(A)$.
From right to left, take element $x \in F(A)$ to nt ϕ such that $\phi_B(f) = F(f)(x)$.

As a special case, the *Yoneda Embedding*:

> The functor $Y : \mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \to [\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S}et]$ is full and faithful, and injective on objects.

$$[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S}et](\mathbb{C}(A, -), \mathbb{C}(B, -)) \simeq \mathbb{C}(B, A)$$

Quite fearsomely terse!

3. The Yoneda Lemma, philosophically

- roughly, “a thing is determined by its relationships with other things”
- in English, *you can tell a lot about a person by the company they keep*
- in Japanese, 人間 (‘ningen’, *human being*) constructed from
  人 (‘nin’, *person*) and 間 (‘gen’, *between*),
- in Euclidean geometry, a point ‘is’ just the lines that meet there
- in poetry, *c’est l’exécution du poème qui est le poème* (Valéry)
- in music, *die Idee der Interpretation gehört zur Musik selber und ist ihr nicht akzidentiell* (Adorno)
- in sculpture, need to see a work from all angles
  in order to understand it (Mazzola)

4. The Yoneda Lemma, computationally

Approximate category $\mathbb{S}et$ by Haskell:

- objects A are types, arrows f are functions
- functors are represented by the type class *Functor*
- natural transformations are polymorphic functions.

Then Yoneda representation $Yo\ f\ a \simeq f\ a$ of functorial datatypes:

```
data Yo f a = Yo {unYo :: ∀x . (a → x) → f x}

fromYo :: Yo f a → f a
fromYo y = unYo y id                -- apply to identity

toYo :: Functor f ⇒ f a → Yo f a
toYo x = Yo (λh → fmap h x)         -- use functorial action
```

—"an F of As is a method for yielding an F of Xs, given an $A \to X$".

5. The Yoneda Lemma, dually

Now consider one half of this bijection:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \forall A \,.\, F(A) \to (\forall X \,.\, (A \to X) \to F(X)) \\
\simeq\ & \forall A \,.\, \forall X \,.\, F(A) \to (A \to X) \to F(X) \\
\simeq\ & \forall A \,.\, \forall X \,.\, (F(A) \times (A \to X)) \to F(X) \\
\simeq\ & \forall X \,.\, \forall A \,.\, (F(A) \times (A \to X)) \to F(X) \\
\simeq\ & \forall X \,.\, (\exists A \,.\, F(A) \times (A \to X)) \to F(X)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence a kind of dual, 'co-Yoneda': $(\exists x \,.\, (x \to a, f\ x)) \simeq f\ a$ for functor f —"an F of Xs can be represented by an F of As and an abstraction $A \to X$".

Indeed, for functor F and object X:

$$(\exists A \,.\, F(A) \times (A \to X)) \simeq F(X)$$

But even for non-functor F, lhs is functorial...

IoT toaster

Signature of commands for remote interaction:

$$\begin{aligned}&\textbf{data } \mathit{Command} :: * \to * \quad \textbf{where}\\ &\quad \mathit{Say} \quad :: \mathit{String} \to \mathit{Command}\ ()\\ &\quad \mathit{Toast} :: \mathit{Int} \quad\ \to \mathit{Command}\ ()\\ &\quad \mathit{Sense} :: () \quad\ \to \mathit{Command}\ \mathit{Int}\end{aligned}$$

GADT, but not a functor; type *index* rather than type *parameter*.
So no free monad, for representing terms.

Co-Yoneda trick to the rescue:

$$\textbf{data } \mathit{Action}\ a = \exists r\ .\ A\ (\mathit{Command}\ r, r \to a)$$

Action is a functor, even though *Command* is not.

6. The Yoneda Lemma, familiarly (1)

Any preorder $(A, \leqslant)$ forms a degenerate category: arrow $a \to b$ iff $a \leqslant b$.

Proof by *indirect inequality* or *indirect order*

$$(b \leqslant a) \Leftrightarrow (\forall c \,.\, (a \leqslant c) \Rightarrow (b \leqslant c))$$



is the Yoneda Embedding in category $\mathbb{P}re(\leqslant)$:

$$[\mathbb{P}re(\leqslant), \mathbb{S}et](\mathbb{P}re(\leqslant)(a,-), \mathbb{P}re(\leqslant)(b,-)) \;\simeq\; \mathbb{P}re(\leqslant)(b,-)(a) \;=\; \mathbb{P}re(\leqslant)(b,a)$$

- homset $\mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(b,a)$ is a 'thin set': singleton or empty
- homfunctor $\mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(a,-)$ takes $c \in A$ to singleton set when $a \leqslant c$, else empty
- natural transformation $\phi : \mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(a,-) \stackrel{\cdot}{\to} \mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(b,-)$ is function family $\phi_c : \mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(a,c) \to \mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(b,c)$
- so ϕ_c is a witness that if $\mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(a,c) \not\equiv \varnothing$ then $\mathbb{P}re(A, \leqslant)(b,c) \not\equiv \varnothing$

The Yoneda Lemma, familiarly (2)

Any functor F *preserves* isos:

$$F(f) \circ F(g) = id \;\Leftrightarrow\; F(f \circ g) = F(id) \;\Leftarrow\; f \circ g = id$$

Full and faithful functor (ie bijection on arrows) also *reflects* isos.

Hom functor $\mathbb{C}(-,=)$ is full and faithful; so

$$(\mathbb{C}(B,-) \simeq \mathbb{C}(A,-)) \;\Leftrightarrow\; (A \simeq B) \;\Leftrightarrow\; (\mathbb{C}(-,A) \simeq \mathbb{C}(-,B))$$

in particular for $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{P}re(\leqslant)$, the rule of *indirect equality*:

$$(b = a) \;\Leftrightarrow\; (\forall\, c \,.\, (a \leqslant c) \Leftrightarrow (b \leqslant c))$$

The Yoneda Lemma, familiarly (3)

Cayley's Theorem

every group is isomorphic to a group of bijections

and similarly

every monoid is isomorphic to a monoid of endomorphisms

$$(M, \oplus, e) \simeq (\{(m\oplus) \mid m \in M\}, (\circ), id)$$

A standard trick, in particular for the monoid $([A], +\!\!+, [\,])$:

represent list xs by function $(xs+\!\!+)$, linear-time $+\!\!+$ by constant-time $\circ$

7. Optics

- *compositional references* (Kagawa, Oles)
- *lens* combinators (Foster, Pierce)





Concrete optics

A view onto a product type:

$$\begin{aligned}\textbf{data}\ \mathit{Lens}\ a\ b\ s\ t \quad &= \mathit{Lens}\ \{ \quad \mathit{view} \quad :: s \to a, \\ &\qquad\qquad\ \ \mathit{update} :: s \times b \to t\}\end{aligned}$$

A view onto a sum type:

$$\begin{aligned}\textbf{data}\ \mathit{Prism}\ a\ b\ s\ t \quad &= \mathit{Prism}\ \{ \quad \mathit{match} :: s \to t + a, \\ &\qquad\qquad\ \ \mathit{build} \quad :: b \to t\}\end{aligned}$$

Common specialization, for adapting interfaces:

$$\begin{aligned}\textbf{data}\ \mathit{Adapter}\ a\ b\ s\ t = \mathit{Adapter}\ \{ &\mathit{from} \quad :: s \to a, \\ &\mathit{to} \quad\ \ :: b \to t\}\end{aligned}$$

But they don't compose well—what is a *Lens* composed with a *Prism*?

Profunctor optics

Formally, functors $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{S}et$.
Informally, *transformers*, which *consume and produce*:

```
class Profunctor p where
  dimap :: (c → a) → (b → d) → p a b → p c d
```

Plain functions → are the canonical instance:

```
instance Profunctor (→) where
  dimap f g h = g ∘ h ∘ f
```

Profunctor adapter adapts transformer *p a b* to *p s t*, *uniformly* in *p*:

```
type AdapterP a b s t = ∀ p . Profunctor p ⇒ p a b → p s t
```

Now ordinary functions, so compose straightforwardly.

Similar constructions for lenses and prisms.

Double Yoneda Embedding

Key insight, by Bartosz Milewski, from two applications of Yoneda:

$$[[\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{S}et], \mathbb{S}et]((-)A, (-)B) \simeq \mathbb{C}(A, B)$$

In Haskell, this says:

$$(\forall f\ .\ Functor\ f \Rightarrow f\ a \to f\ b) \simeq (a \to b)$$

Indeed, these are inverses:

```
fromFun :: (∀ f . Functor f ⇒ f a → f b) → (a → b)
fromFun phi = unId ∘ phi ∘ Id        -- apply to identity

toFun :: (a → b) → (∀ f . Functor f ⇒ f a → f b)
toFun = fmap                         -- use functorial action
```

But this works in any category, including $\mathbb{C}^{\mathrm{op}} \times \mathbb{C}$.
Hence $AdapterP\ a\ b\ s\ t \simeq Adapter\ a\ b\ s\ t$. Similarly for lenses and prisms.

8. Conclusions

- Yoneda Lemma is *essentially simple, but surprisingly deep*
- profunctor optics are *practical and powerful, but mysterious*
- Yoneda *simplifies previously convoluted proofs* of equivalence

- some of these ideas due to Guillaume Boisseau, Ed Kmett, Russell O'Connor, Matthew Pickering, Bartosz Milewski
- paper with Guillaume Boisseau at ICFP 2018:

  `www.cs.ox.ac.uk/jeremy.gibbons/`

- plug: part-time professional MSc in SE at Oxford